2021 External evaluation for the board of directors' performance:

The Company has established the "Rules for Performance Evaluation of Board of Directors" via the resolution of the board on November 8, 2021. The external board performance evaluation shall be conducted at least once every three years. The Company commissioned the external institution "EY Business Advisory Services Inc. ("EY" hereinafter) to implement the 2021 board's performance evaluation in August 2022. The members of EY consist of the experts in corporate governance, risk management, and ESG with rich practical experience; they are not the Company's attesting CPAs, so the professionalism and independence are ensured. EY presented the evaluation report of the board's performance in September 2022, and their recommendations and measures expected to be adopted were submitted to the board meeting on November 11, 2022. The related contents and measures are as the following:

I. Evaluation Procedure

January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021

II. Evaluation scope

The scope of the 2021 performance evaluation includes the overall operations of the board of directors (including individual directors) and each functional committees (the Audit Committee and the Remuneration Committee).

III. Methods and content of evaluation

The boards' performance evaluation of the Company was conducted through the comprehensive performance of EY's three major perspectives, and divided into eight evaluation aspects to identify the key elements for evaluating the performance of the Company's board of directors. The documents were reviewed, and one director was interviewed; seven directors completed the self-evaluation questionnaires.

Structure	Structure and process of the board of directors
	Members composing the board of directors
People	Legal person and organizational structure
	Role and accountability
	Behavior and culture
Process and Information	Trainings and development of directors
	Supervision over the risk control
	Supervision over reporting, disclosure and
	performance

Structure of performance evaluation for the Company's board of directors



IV. Evaluation criteria

A comprehensive evaluation was conduced based on the implementation, and divided as three grades: basic, intermediate, and benchmark.

V. Evaluation conclusion

Based on EY's 2021 evaluation, for the three major perspectives, namely "Structure," "People," and "Process and Information," the Company's comprehensive performance is intermediate, intermediate, and basic. For this performance evaluation of the board, EY believes that the Company has a health and good interactive culture within the board, which is a basic element for high-performance board. Based on this good foundation, it is expected that the observations and recommendations provided in the evaluation conducted by EY regarding board members, operational practices, risk management, and performance supervision will assist the Company and the board of directors for the continuous optimizations and enhancement, to be the industrial benchmarks of corporate governance and the board of directors' performance.

VI. Summary of recommendation and improvement plans

Perspective	EY's recommendation	The Company's improvement plan
	It is recommended to establish the specific	The Company is expected to increase the
	management targets regarding the diversity policy of	seats of female directors in the next
Structure	the board members and implement accordingly. Such	re-election of the board, to continuously
	as increase the seats of female directors.	achieve the management goal of the diverse
		board members.
	It is suggested that the Company may plan the	The Company will regularly provide the
	intermediate plans for directors' learning and	channels for directors' continuing education
	development. Through recording the training hours of	every quarter, to achieve the course contents
	directors and the continuous professional	and hours of continuing education better
Process and	development plans, the course contents and hours of	than the required by the competent
Information	continuing education better than the required by the	authorities, while providing diverse courses
	competent authorities are provided. Meanwhile, the	of continuing education to directors, to
	course needed match the directors in different fields	enable them to enhance their own field and
	or with different expertise, to provide the directors the	improve the professional knowledge for the
	courses and discussions regarding the Company's	Company's future development and

future development, directions, and core	directions.
competitiveness, so that not only to enhance their	
own professional field, the directors also improve	
other professional knowledge for them to exert the	
directors' functions.	
It is recommended to evaluate the impact of ESG on	The Company assigned related staff to attend
the Company's performance of business and finance	numerous greenhouse gas promotional
continuously. For example, to enhance the	sessions in 2022, to understand the
transparency and credibility of the information	information such as possible emission
disclosure, promote the measures corresponding to	source, carbon emission calculation, and the
policies like greenhouse gas inventory, to pursue the	carbon inventory tools in advance, to comply
sustainable development targets.	with the reference guidelines and related
	regulations imposed by the competent
	authorities, and the completion status of the
	greenhouse gas inventory and validation
	disclosure timetable will be under control
	continuously.